NR.416

Clinical and Experimental

Via Santa Maria 31, 56126 Pisa, Italy

FAX MESSAGE

To:

Mrs. L. van Grieken-Plooster

Fax: +31-33-4227319

From:

Clin. Exp. Rheumatology

Fax: +39-050-502299

Date:

January 27, 2003

Dear Mrs. Van Grieken-Plooster,

I herewith state that the article named "Recombinant human crythropoietin (r-hu-EPO) treatment in patients with rhaumatoid arthritis and anaemia chronic disease (ACD)" by the authors A.J. Swaak, C. Nieuwenhuizen and G. Vraugdenhil was delivered to us by our printer, ready to be mailed to our subscribers, on October 31, 1994.

Sincerely yours,

Luisa Marconcini Managing Editor

RECEIVED

FEB 1 0 2003

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

| Interview Summary | Application No. O8/817,704 Application Swaak | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Examiner F. Pierre Vand | erVegt | Group Art Unit |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC | | | OIPE |
| (1) F. Pierre VanderVegt | (3) <u>Johan Re</u> ne | | |
| (2) Robert Carpenter | (4) | | JAN 28 |
| Date of Interview Mar 28, 2001 | | | ν μ |
| Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to [| □ applicant 🛛 app | llicant's repr | esentative). |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes Yes | No. If yes, brief de | | PECH CENTER |
| | | | - CANONIE |
| Agreement 🛛 was reached. 🗌 was not reached. | | | 7 |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>14, 18, 20, and 30</u> | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | |
| GB 2 171 304 A of record | | | |
| III the claims to recite 3 to 6 weeks Free | ent was only one week cation. Applicant is c | versus the l k while the l onsidering a | ength of treatment in the instant treatment was 3 mendment of the time or |
| weeks, which allowed the results seen in the instant specific in the claims to recite 3 to 6 weeks. Examiner agreed the Applicant agreed to point out this support when the agreed to | ent was only one week cation. Applicant is c | versus the l k while the l onsidering a | ength of treatment in the instant treatment was 3 mendment of the time or |
| instant invention. Applicant pointed out that the art treatme weeks, which allowed the results seen in the instant specific in the claims to recite3 to 6 weeks. Examiner agreed the Applicant agreed to point out this support when the example | ent was only one week cation. Applicant is c | versus the l k while the l onsidering a | ength of treatment in the instant treatment was 3 mendment of the time or |
| instant invention. Applicant pointed out that the art treatme weeks, which allowed the results seen in the instant specific in the claims to recite3 to 6 weeks. Examiner agreed the Applicant agreed to point out this support when the amendment would address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if peccessive seeks. | ant was only one week cation. Applicant is co at there was support lent is submitted. The | versus the lik while the light on sidering at for this langue Examiner a | ength of treatment in the instant treatment was 3 mendment of the time per usge in the claims and agreed that these showing. |
| A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment eclaims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | ents, if available, while of the amendents when the reference was support and the submitted. The submitted are the submi | versus the lek while the report of this language Examiner and the examiner which would remain the examiner where the examiner would remain the examiner where where the examiner where the examiner where the examiner where t | ength of treatment in the instant treatment was 3 mendment of the time per uage in the claims and agreed that these showing iner agreed would render the claims allowable. |
| A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy available, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate | ents, if available, which of the amendents where was supported the amendents where was supported to the amendents where was a supported to the amendents where was a supported to the amendents where we was a supported to the amendent to the amen | versus the post of the examiner and the | ength of treatment in the instant treatment was 3 mendment of the time per uage in the claims and agreed that these showing timer agreed would render the claims allowable ander the claims allowable in the stream of the claims allowable in the cla |
| Applicant pointed out that the art treatme weeks, which allowed the results seen in the instant specific in the claims to recite3 to 6 weeks. Examiner agreed the Applicant agreed to point out this support when the amendment would address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment he claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate needs the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the AST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has all and THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF TH | ent was only one week cation. Applicant is contact there was support then is submitted. The cent is submitted. The cent is submitted. The cent is a contact the amendents where contrary, A FORM, THE SUBSTANCE OF | ch the examiner and the interest of the intere | iner agreed would render the claims allowable the claims allowable terview. IN RESPONSE TO THE RIVER ONE MONTH |
| weeks, which allowed the results seen in the instant specific in the claims to recite3 to 6 weeks. Examiner agreed the Applicant agreed to point out this support when the amendment would address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment he claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | ents, if available, which of the amendents who record of the substantial to the contrary, A FORM, THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTA | ch the examiner and the interest of the intere | iner agreed would render the claims allowable terview. I RESPONSE TO THE IVIEW. (See MPEP GIVEN ONE MONTH IV.) I RESPONSE TO THE IVIEW. (See MPEP GIVEN ONE MONTH IV.) I RESPONSE TO THE IVIEW. (See MPEP GIVEN ONE MONTH IV.) |
| A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment claims allowable must be attached.) A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy available, a summary thereof must be attached.) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate and sthe paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the company of the action 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has all office action has all office action for the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered considered. Applicant is not relieved from providing is also checked. | ent was only one week cation. Applicant is contained there was support in the substant in the contrary, A FORM. THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE | ch the examiner and the interview. AL WRITTEN LICANT IS (HE INTERVIEW) reflects a component requirement of the interview. | iner agreed would render the claims allowable terview. I RESPONSE TO THE IVIEW. (See MPEP GIVEN ONE MONTH IV.) I RESPONSE TO THE IVIEW. (See MPEP GIVEN ONE MONTH IV.) I RESPONSE TO THE IVIEW. (See MPEP GIVEN ONE MONTH IV.) |
| Applicant pointed out that the art treatment weeks, which allowed the results seen in the instant specific in the claims to recite 3 to 6 weeks. Examiner agreed the Applicant agreed to point out this support when the amendment would address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. A fuller description and a copy of the amendment avoid address at least some of the outstanding issues. | ent was only one week cation. Applicant is contained there was support in the substant in the contrary, A FORM. THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE | ch the examiner and the interview. AL WRITTEN LICANT IS (HE INTERVIEW) reflects a component requirement of the interview. | iner agreed would render the claims allowable terview. I RESPONSE TO THE IVIEW. (See MPEP GIVEN ONE MONTH IV.) I RESPONSE TO THE IVIEW. (See MPEP GIVEN ONE MONTH IV.) I RESPONSE TO THE IVIEW. (See MPEP GIVEN ONE MONTH IV.) |